

**POLITICS AND DEVELOPMENT : WITH
REFERENCE TO RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME
IN ANDHRA PRADESH**

G SUVARCHALA

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL & ECONOMIC CHANGE
BANGALORE-560-072

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE BANGALORE UNIVERSITY FOR THE DOCTOR OF
PHILOSOPHY IN POLITICAL SCIENCE THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF
POLITICAL SCIENCE, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE.

1991





TS 656 C94

CERTIFICATE

I certify that, I have guided and supervised the preparation and writing of the thesis entitled "Politics and Development: With Reference to Rural Development Programme in Andhra Pradesh" by Ms G Suvarchala who worked on this subject in the Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore.

Signature of the Guide


(Dr. S. M. Sangita)



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis entitled "Politics and Development : With Reference to Rural Development Programme in Andhra Pradesh" is a result of my own work and that it has not, either wholly or in part been submitted for any other degree. Due acknowledgments have been made wherever anything has been borrowed from other sources.

G. Suvarchala
G Suvarchala

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements

List of Tables

Chapter		Page No.
I	Introduction	1
II	Socio-Economic Setting of the Study Area	61
III	Institutional Arrangements for Rural Development	113
IV	Politico - Administrative Leadership: Socio-Economic Background, Attitudes and Orientations	149
V	Dynamics of Politics and Rural Development	239
VI	Summary and Conclusions	311
	Bibliography	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis is an outcome of the research work undertaken at ISEC, Bangalore, under the guidance of Dr. S N Sangita. I have benefited greatly through interaction with my supervisor. His keen grasp of the subject has made my academic sojourn at ISEC a fruitful one.

Prof. Amal Ray, Head, Development Administration and Dr. B S Bhargava have also been generous with their time and unstinting co-operation. Profs. Abdul Aziz, G Haragopal, M V Nadkarni, V M Rao, D N Reddy and Vinod Vyasulu have all catalyzed the progress of this thesis at one stage or the other. To all of them I am profoundly grateful.

Interaction with my respondents who patiently answered all the questions put to them by an ingenuous researcher, exposed me to a whole new facet of Rural India. This has been a learning experience for me. I am thankful to all of them.

The Institute for Social and Economic Change provided a conducive academic environment. I am thankful to the authorities for the same. This research work was undertaken on an ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship and I am grateful to the Indian Council of Social Science Research for the same.

My friends - both at ISEC and outside - have contributed in various ways to the completion of this work. Jagadish, Krishna Chandran, Palani and Srinivasa Murthy have gone out of their way to help me. I acknowledge their assistance gratefully.

My mother and other family members have played no small part in providing a lot of support to me especially when I needed it most.

It will forever remain a matter of deep sorrow to me that my father could not witness the fruition of my work. For long he had been a source of inspiration and encouragement to me in my academic endeavours and followed the progress of my research work with abiding interest.

G Suvarchala

List Of Tables

Table No.		Page No.
2.1	Some Basic Characteristics of the Study District vis-a-vis the State (as per 1981 Census).	66
2.2	Some Basic Characteristics of the Selected Study Blocks (as per 1981 Census).	72
2.3	Land-Holding Pattern in the Selected Blocks.	73
2.4	Some Basic Characteristics of the Study Villages.	78
2.5	Public Infrastructural Facilities Available in the Study Villages.	79
2.6	Distribution of Households in Rajuapalem across Caste and Main Occupation.	86
2.7	Distribution of Households in Rajuapalem across Caste and Land-Ownership Pattern.	87
2.8	Distribution of Households in Kammanda across Caste and Main Occupation.	93
2.9	Distribution of Households in Kammanda across Caste and Land-Ownership Pattern.	94
2.10	Distribution of Households in Reddapadu across Caste and Main Occupation.	99
2.11	Distribution of Households in Reddapadu across Caste and Land-Ownership Pattern.	100
3.1	Changes in the Various Positions of Assistant Project Officers in DRDA of the Study District during the Period 1980-85.	129
4.1	Percentage of Assembly Seats won by Kammas as against the Other Caste Groups during the Period 1952-85.	152
4.2	Caste Background of the Sarpanches of the Study Villages during the Period 1959-87.	152

4.3	Caste Background of the Members of the Village Panchayat during the Period 1980-85.	155
4.4	Distribution of Non-Officials by their Age Group.	155
4.5	Distribution of Non-Officials by their Level of Education.	158
4.6	Distribution of Non-Officials by their Occupation.	158
4.7	Distribution of Non-Officials by their Pattern of Land-Ownership.	160
4.8	Distribution of Non-Officials by their Annual Income.	162
4.9	Distribution of Non-Officials across the Study Blocks and Villages by their Caste and Class.	165
4.10	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as per their Opinion about the Activities required for Development.	167
4.11	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as regards their Opinion about the Mode of Formulation of Schemes under the Programme.	170
4.12	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as regards their Opinion about the Norms to be Followed while Identifying Beneficiaries.	172
4.13	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as per the Frequency of their Visits to the Target Groups in the Area under Their Jurisdiction.	174
4.14	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as per their Opinion about Conditions Necessary for the Success of the Development Programme.	176
4.15	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials as per their Opinion about the Criterion to Assess Impact of the Programme.	177

4.16	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Bapatla and Rajuapalem as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Occupational Group for Assistance.	179
4.17	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Bapatla and Rajuapalem as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Caste and Economic Group for Assistance.	180
4.18	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Narasaraopet and Kammanda as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Occupational Group for Assistance.	181
4.19	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Narasaraopet and Kammanda as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Caste and Economic Group for Assistance.	182
4.20	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Vinukonda and Reddapadu as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Occupational Group for Assistance.	184
4.21	Caste and Class-Wise Distribution of Non-Officials of Vinukonda and Reddapadu as per their Opinion about the Most Deserving Caste and Economic Group for Assistance.	185
4.22	Distribution of Officials by their Age Group.	190
4.23	Distribution of Officials by their Educational Qualification.	190
4.24	Distribution of Officials by their Native Place in terms of Rural Vs Urban.	192
4.25	Distribution of Officials by their Native Place in terms of Study District Vs Other Districts.	192
4.26	Distribution of Officials by their Caste Background.	194

4.27	Distribution of Officials by their Land-Ownership Pattern.	194
4.28	Distribution of Officials as per their Opinion about Activities required for Development.	201
4.29	Distribution of Officials as per their Opinion about the Mode of Formulation of Schemes under the Programme.	203
4.30	Distribution of Officials as per their Opinion about the Norms to be Followed While Identifying the Beneficiaries.	205
4.31	Distribution of Officials by Frequency of their Visits to the Area under Jurisdiction.	207
4.32	Distribution of Officials by their Opinion about Conditions Necessary for the the Success of Development Programme.	209
4.33	Distribution of Officials by their Opinion about Criterion to Assess Impact of the Programme.	210
4.34	Distribution of Officials by their Opinion about the Most Deserving Caste and Economic Group For Assistance.	212
4.35	Distribution of Officials by their Opinion about the Most Deserving Occupational Group for Assistance.	213
4.36	Distribution of Officials as per their Opinion about Final Decision-Making Authority in the Context of Implementation.	220
4.37	Distribution of Non-Officials as per their Opinion about Final Decision-Making Authority in the Context of Implementation.	220
4.38	Distribution of Officials as per their Opinion about the Nature of the Role to be Played by Non-Officials in the Implementation Process.	221

4.39	Distribution of Non-Officials as per their Opinion about the Nature of the Role to be Played by Officials in the Implementation Process.	221
4.40	Distribution of Officials as per their Contact Points During their Visits to the Area under Jurisdiction.	225
5.1	Caste-Wise Distribution of Beneficiaries in the Selected Blocks.	243
5.2	Type of Schemes Sanctioned to the Beneficiaries of Selected Villages During the Study Period 1980-85.	245
5.3	Distribution of Beneficiaries by their Caste and Occupational Background in Rajuapalem.	248
5.4	Distribution of Beneficiaries by their Caste and Occupational Background in Kammanda.	249
5.5	Distribution of Beneficiaries by their Caste and Occupational Background in Reddapadu.	250
5.6	Caste-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries in the Selected Villages.	253
5.7	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by their Occupational Group.	254
5.8	Scheme-Wise Distribution of Sample Respondents in the Selected Villages.	255
5.9	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries as per their Income at the Time of Identification.	258
5.10	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries as per their Income at the Time of Identification.	259
5.11	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries as per their Income at the Time of Identification.	260

5.12	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Accessibility to the Officials at District, Block and Village Levels.	267
5.13	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries as per Frequency of their Visits to the Officials at District, Block and Village Levels.	269
5.14	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Opinion about Attitudes of Officials at District, Block and Village Levels Towards Them.	270
5.15	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Accessibility to the Non-Officials at District, Block and Village Levels.	273
5.16	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries as per the Frequency of their Visits to the Non-Officials at District, Block and Village Levels.	274
5.17	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Opinion about Attitudes of Non-Officials at District, Block and Village Levels Towards Them.	275
5.18	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Sources of Information about IRDP.	277
5.19	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per the Channels Used for Getting Assistance Under IRDP.	278
5.20	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Opinion about the Quality of the Asset.	284

5.21	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per their Opinion About Maintenance Problems of Assets.	286
5.22	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per the Repayment of the Loan.	288
5.23	Village-Wise Distribution of Sample Beneficiaries by Caste and Land-Ownership as per the Changes in their Income.	300

List of Maps

1.	Guntur in Andhra Pradesh.	63
2.	Study Blocks and Villages in Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.	77