

**A STUDY OF
GROWTH OF SCIENTIFIC CONCEPTS
AMONG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN**

A thesis presented for
THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in Education
BHOPAL UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL

By

Subhash Chandra Arya

BHOPAL UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL
1981

**A STUDY OF
GROWTH OF SCIENTIFIC CONCEPTS
AMONG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN**

A thesis presented for
THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in Education
BHOPAL UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL

By

Subhash Chandra Arya

BHOPAL UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL

1981

C E R T I F I C A T E

Certified that -

- (a) The **thesis embodies** the **work** of the candidate **himself;**
- (b) The candidate **worked** under me for the required **period;** and
- (c) In my opinion the **thesis fulfils the** requirements **of the ordienance** relating to the **Ph.D. Degree of Bhopal University.**

(Dr. J. S. Rajput)
Supervisor,
Principal,
Regional College of Education,
Bhopal.

&
Dean,
Faculty of Education,
Bhopal University,
Bhopal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I extend my deep sense of gratitude to all who have in any way contributed to the working of this thesis, particularly among the scholars who with a candle of their experience not only guided me through the hurdles, but also made the completion of this work a possibility. First to mention is my learned guide Dr. J. S. Rajput, who by hours of his stimulating discussions and valuable suggestions shaped this study to the present form. The second who most enlightened me on this topic is my teacher Dr. Dinesh Chandra (Agra University) who cooperated a lot and consistently inspired me for a speedy completion of this work. I am also thankful to Prof. N. Vaidya for helping me in selecting the topic from this area of research in Education.

For reviews and critiques of the manuscripts, I express my deep and sincere thanks to Prof. H. Datta (UNESCO expert retired) and Mrs. S. K. Singh, R. B. S. College, Agra.

For shepherding the manuscripts through its various stages I feel indebted to Sri S. C. Pant, Sri S. N. L. Bhargava and Prof. A. B. Saxena for the completion of my work. The researcher is also thankful to Sri Sudhakar Singh, my friend, who gave me the moral support in times I felt depressed.

-ii-

In the last I would be failing in my duties if I ignore to pay thanks to Mr. B.L. Agrawal, Agra for his typing competence and saintly forbearance.

Suhash Chandra Arya

SUHASH CHANDRA ARYA

C O N T E N T S

<u>CHAPTER</u>		<u>PAGES</u>
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i - ii
I	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1 - 42
	i. Attitude of Investigation	1
	ii. Nature of Science	2
	iii. Dual Nature of Science	4
	iv. Growth and Learning of Education and Learning Theories.	5
	v. Stages of Development; (Sensory Motor or Pre-verbal, Pre-operational, concrete, Operational and Abstract, Logical Stage).	10
	vi. A critique of Learning Stages and statement of problem.	16
	vii. Purpose or objectives of the Study.	30
	viii. Hypotheses of the Study.	32
	ix. Limitations of the Study.	33
	x. Definition of the terms.	34
II	<u>REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE</u>	42-85
	i. purpose of the Study.	43
	ii. Studies related to the concept conservation.	45
	iii. a) Conservation of Mass/weight	46
	b) Conservation of Volume	56
	iii. Studies on Classification and Cognitive Development or equivalence transformation or Associative Linking.	76
III	<u>PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY</u>	86- 135
	i. Methodology	87
	ii. Why Piaget's Model	88
	iii. Sampling Design	90
	iv. Justification for other considerations in selecting the sample.	98
	v. Tools used for the study of Conservation of Mass,	101
	a) Tasks related to conservation of Mass.	103
	b) Tasks related to conservation of Volume.	108
	vi. Reliability and Validity of the Tool.	110

<u>CHAPTER</u>		<u>PAGES</u>
	vii. Development of Tasks to Study Associative Linking.	114
	viii. Administration of the Task.	118
	ix. procedure of scoring the Responses according to Bruner's Model.	120
	x. Other Tools Used	126
	a) Description of the Tool.	126
	b) Justification of the use of this Tool.	129
	c) Norms and Retest Reliability	129
	d) Selection of Average Students	133
	e) Statistical Techniques Used.	135
IV	<u>PRESENTATION ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA (A Study of Conservation of the concepts Sec. A).</u>	136-173
	i. General Introduction	136
	ii. The Study of Conservation of Mass	138
V	<u>PRESENTATION ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA (THE STUDY OF CONSERVATION OF VOLUME (Continued Sec. B)</u>	174-194
	i. General Introduction of Task	174
	ii. Description of the Task.	179
VI	<u>PRESENTATION ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA s (Classification of Objects and Words or Modes of Associations (Bruner's Model).</u>	195-232
	i. Equivalence Transformations	195
	ii. The Experiment	200
VII	<u>PRESENTATION ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA (Continued) Classification of Concrete Operations (Piaget's Model)</u>	233-259
	i. General Description	233
	ii. Levels of Classifications	236
	iii. Experiments	240

CHAPTER

PAGES

VIII.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS 260-290

- i. The Study of Conservation of Mass.
- ii. The Study of Conservation of Volume.
- iii. A study of Classification in Terms of Associative Linking (Bruners Model)
- iv. A Study of Classification (Piaget's Model).
- v. Suggestions for further study.

APPENDIX OF TABLES

PHOTOGR PHS

BIBLIOGRAPHY

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE No.

3.01	92
3.02	94
3.03	95
3.04	96
3.05	97
3.06	100
3.07	101
3.08	112
3.09	113
3.10	115
3.11	116
Table for Reliability Index	131
Table showing Relationship between Percentile grades and Terman Merrill I.Q.	132
Table of Norms	134
4.01	140 - 41
4.02	144
4.03	147 - 48
4.04	152 - 53
4.05	155
4.06	159 - 60
4.07	165 - 66
4.08	170
4.09	171
5.01	180
5.02	181 - 82
5.03	185 - 86
5.04	190 - 92
6.01	201
6.02	206
6.03	209
6.04	210
6.05	217
6.06	218 - 22
6.07	222
6.08	223
6.09	224
6.10	226
6.11	230
6.12	231
7.01	241 - 42
7.02	246 - 47
7.03	251 - 52
7.04	255 - 56

LIST OF GRAPHS

PAGE NO

1. Graph showing % frequency counts on different modes of characterisations (Graph 1-5) 201
2. Graph showing sex differences on different modes of characterisations (Graph No.6) 209
3. Graph showing school-wise different modes of characterisation (Graph No.7) 212
4. Graph showing % of students using two types of grouping structures (Bruner's and Researcher's upto age 10+) (Graph No.9) 217
- 5* Graph showing % of students using two types of grouping structures, super ordinate, and complexive. (Bruner's graph upto age 19+) 220
6. Graph representing different modes of characterisations (schoolwise) (Graph No.8) 225